Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/833,702	SEOK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pramila Parthasarathy	2136
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Pramila Parthasarathy</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Yoon Ham</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 May 2006	Time: <u>2:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 8 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Examiner suggested adding the limitation "and producing a signal agreed and authorized the Examiner to amend the claim.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE-Signature) 5 21 2006 (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)